## Case 5:11-cv-00469-EJD Document 29 Filed 07/27/11 Page 1 of 3

1	DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP Sri K. Sankaran (Cal. Bar No. 236584)		
2 3	sankaran.sri@dorsey.com Devan V. Padmanabhan (Petition for Admission <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> to be filed) padmanabhan.devan@dorsey.com J. Thomas Vitt (Petition for Admission <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> to be filed) vitt.thomas@dorsey.com		
4			
5	50 South Sixth Street, Suite 1500 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402		
6	Telephone: (612) 340-2600 Facsimile: (612) 340-2868		
7	ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT INGENIX, INC.		
8			
9	David W. Harlan (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i> ) dharlan@armstrongteasdale.com		
10 11	Armstrong Teasdale 7700 Forsyth Blvd., Suite 1800	ATES DISTRICT	
12	St. Louis, MO 63105 Telephone: (314) 621-5070 Facsimile: (314) 621-5065		
13	Andrew Leibnitz (State Bar No. 184723) aleibnitz@fbm.com	IT IS SO ORDERED	
14	Farella Braun & Martel LLP 235 Montgomery Street, 17th Floor	EQU () Walk ()	
15 16	San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 954-4400 Facsimile: (415) 954-4480	Judge Edward J. Davila	
17 18	ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF CAVE CONSULTING GROUP, LLC	DISTRICT OF CONTROL TO	
19	7/27/2011 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
20			
21	CAVE CONSULTING GROUP, LLC,	CASE NO. 5:11-CV-0469 EJD	
22	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO RESPOND TO FIRST	
23	v.		
24	INGENIX, INC.,	AMENDED COMPLAINT	
25	Defendant.		
26		]	
27			

28

## Case 5:11-cv-00469-EJD Document 29 Filed 07/27/11 Page 2 of 3

1	Pursuant to Local Rule 6-1(a) the parties through their undersigned counsel stipulate to a	
2	thirty-day extension of the time within which Defendant may answer or otherwise respond to the	
3	First Amended Complaint, up to and including August 31, 2011.	
4	The reason for the stipulation is that a new patent has recently issued to Defendant, and	
5	Defendant needs additional time to evaluate whether its pleading in response to the First	
6	Amended Complaint should include a counterclaim with respect to this recently issued patent.	
7	The extension will not impact the schedule for the case, as the Case Management	
8	Conference is currently set for October 14, 2011.	
9	Previously, the parties requested that the Case Management Conference be continued	
10	from May 13, 2011 to June 17, 2011, as the parties we attempting to settle the dispute.	
11	Subsequently the case was reassigned to the Honorable Edward J. Davila, and the Case	
12	Management Conference was re-set sua sponte to October 14, 2011.	
13	On May 27, 2011, the parties requested that the deadline for Plaintiff to serve the First	
14	Amended Complaint be extended until July 11, 2011.	
15	Accordingly, the parties stipulate that Defendant shall have up to and including	
16	August 31, 2011, to answer or otherwise respond to the First Amended Complaint.	
17		Respectfully submitted,
18	Dated: July 27, 2011	ARMSTRONG TEASDALE
19	Dated. July 27, 2011	ARMSTRONG TEASDALE
20		By: /s/ David W. Harlan
21	Dated: July 27, 2011	DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP
22	Dated. July 27, 2011	DONGET & WINTNET EE
23		By: /s/ Sri K. Sankaran Sri K. Sankaran
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

**ATTORNEY'S E-FILING ATTESTATION** As the attorney e-filing this document, and pursuant to General Order 45(X)(B), I hereby attest that Plaintiff's counsel, David W. Harlan, of Armstrong Teasdale, 7700 Forsyth Blvd., Suite 1800, St. Louis, MO 63105, (314) 621-5070, has concurred in the filing of this document. Dated: July 27, 2011 DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP By: /s/ Sri K. Sankaran Sri K. Sankaran ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT INGENIX, INC.